WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF



RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Director (608) 266-1946

Richard Sweet Assistant Director (608) 266-2982



Terry C. Anderson, Director Legislative Council Staff (608) 266-1304

One E. Main St., Ste. 401 P.O. Box 2536 Madison, WI 53701-2536 FAX: (608) 266-3830

CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 00-156

AN ORDER to amend Phar 7.01 (3), relating to supervising pharmacy interns.

Submitted by DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

11–06–00 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

11–30–00 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RNS:NZ:jal

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are reported as noted below: STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)] NO V Comment Attached FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)] NO 1 YES Comment Attached CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)] Comment Attached YES ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES TO RELATED STATUTES, RULES AND FORMS [s. 227.15 (2) (e)] YES Comment Attached 5. CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)] YES Comment Attached POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDERAL REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)] YES Comment Attached COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT ACTION DEADLINE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)] NO

YES

Comment Attached

STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD PROPOSED ORDER OF THE PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

ADOPTING RULES

(CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 00-156)

TO: Senator Judy Robson, Senate Co-Chairperson
Joint Committee for the Review of Administrative Rules
Room 15 South, State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin 53702

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD is submitting in final draft form rules relating to supervising pharmacy interns.

Please stamp or sign a copy of this letter to acknowledge receipt. If you have any questions concerning the final draft form or desire additional information, please contact Pamela Haack at 266-0495.

STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING: REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE :

ON CLEARINGHOUSE 00-156

PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD :

(s. 227.19 (3), Stats.)

THE PROPOSED RULE: T.

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS: II.

No new or revised forms are required by these rules.

III. **FISCAL ESTIMATES:**

These rules will have no significant impact upon state or local units of government.

STATEMENT EXPLAINING NEED: IV.

Current requirements of s. Phar 7.01 (3) state that a pharmacist may supervise no more than one pharmacy intern and 2 non-pharmacists engaged in compounding and dispensing activities, except a higher ratio may be authorized by the board upon request to and approval by the board of a specific plan describing the manner in which additional interns or non-pharmacists shall be supervised. The objective of modifying s. Phar 7.01 (3) is to change a reference from "non-pharmacist" to "pharmacy technician," and increase the ratio to 1 to 4. As originally proposed, the modification of s. Phar 7.01 (3) was intended to allow for an unlimited pharmacy technician ratio. After a public hearing regarding the proposed modification of s. Phar 7.01 (3), the board has determined that rather than removing all limits to the pharmacy/pharmacy technician ratio, increased 1 to 4 ratio, with the ability to seek a higher ratio by petition to the board, will better implement proposed new rule s. Phar 7.015 which sets forth the delegation of duties to pharmacy technicians.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: V.

A public hearing was held on December 12, 2000. The following individuals appeared: Joe Wiederhold, University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy, Madison, WI Tom Engels, Madison, WI Pamela Pluck, Madison, WI Ken Schaefer, Wausau, WI Tamara Clark, Hillsboro, WI Bart Clark, Madison, WI Susan Hancock, Waukesha, WI

Bonnie Svarstad, Madison, WI David Kreling, Oregon, WI Jeanine Mount, Madison, WI Michelle Long, Waukesha, WI Jamie Statz Paynter, Verona, WI

Written comments were received from:

Bart Clark, Madison, WI

Beth A. Martin, R.Ph., Madison, WI

Gary C. Speich, R.Ph., Augusta, WI

Melissa Jarvey

R.W. Clement, R.Ph., Peshtigo Pharmacy, Peshtigo, WI

Duane B. Asp, R.Ph., Tomah, WI

Sarah Bartel, R.Ph.

Hospital, Waukesha, WI

Clyde Birringer, Pharm D., Meriter Hospital, Madison, WI

Mark Zwaska

Teri Luebbering, R.Ph

Wendy Wallis, R.Ph.

Chris Klink, R.Ph., Beloit Memorial Hospital, Beloit, WI

Tom Reilly, Director, Government Affairs, Milwaukee, WI

Tom Engels, Director of Government Affairs, Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

George Christiansen, R.Ph., West Salem, WI

Betty Chewning, Ph.D., Associate Professor, School of Pharmacy, Madison, WI

Valerie A. Schend, R.Ph., Madison, WI

Lynne A. Dittman, R.Ph.

Diane L. Darvey, Pharm.D., J.D., Director, State Pharmacy Services, Alexandria, VA

Kay Palmer

Kim T. Kass

Thomas J. Lausten

Cynthia J. Steffen, R.Ph., Program Coordinator, Pharmacy Technician Program,

Milwaukee Area Technical College

George F. Christiansen, R.Ph., West Salem, WI

Paul Rosowski, R.Ph., Director of Pharmacy Internship, University of Wisconsin,

Madison, WI

David H. ', R.Ph., Associate Professor of Social & Administrative Pharmacy, Madison,

WI

Christopher T. Ehlenbach, Wauwatosa, WI

Joseph B. Wiederholt, Ph.D., Professor of Pharmacy Administration, University of

Wisconsin, School of Pharmacy, Madison, WI

Roger Gottschalk, R.Ph.

Clyde Birringer

Mark Zwaska

Ronn Teri

Doug Palmer

Kim Kass
Thomas J. Lausten
Steve Rough, M.S., R.Ph., Director, Pharmacy Service Organization, UW Hospital and
Clinics, Madison, WI
Tom Thielke, M.S.

VI. RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS:

Current requirements of s. Phar 7.01 (3) state that a pharmacist may supervise no more than one pharmacy intern and 2 non-pharmacists engaged in compounding and dispensing activities, except a higher ratio may be authorized by the board upon request to and approval by the board of a specific plan describing the manner in which additional interns or non-pharmacists shall be supervised. The objective of modifying s. Phar 7.01 (3) is to change a reference from "non-pharmacist" to "pharmacy technician," and increase the ratio to 1 to 4. As originally proposed, the modification of s. Phar 7.01 (3) was intended to allow for an unlimited pharmacy technician ratio. After a public hearing regarding the proposed modification of s. Phar 7.01 (3), the board has determined that rather than removing all limits to the pharmacy/pharmacy technician ratio, increased 1 to 4 ratio, with the ability to seek a higher ratio by petition to the board, will better implement proposed new rule s. Phar 7.015 which sets forth the delegation of duties to pharmacy technicians.

VII. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

There were no recommendations suggested in the Clearinghouse Report.

VIII. FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

These rules will have no significant economic impact on small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Stats.

g:\rules\phm19leg.doc 1/26/01

STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

ADOPTING RULES

: (CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 00-156)

PROPOSED ORDER

An order of the Pharmacy Examining Board to amend Phar 7.01 (3), relating to supervising pharmacy interns.

Analysis prepared by the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

ANALYSIS

Statutes authorizing promulgation: ss. 15.08 (5) (b), 227.11 (2) and 450.02 (3) (a) and (e), Stats.

Statutes interpreted: s. 450.02 (3) (a) and (e), Stats.

Current requirements of s. Phar 7.01 (3) state that a pharmacist may supervise no more than one pharmacy intern and 2 non-pharmacists engaged in compounding and dispensing activities, except a higher ratio may be authorized by the board upon request to and approval by the board of a specific plan describing the manner in which additional interns or non-pharmacists shall be supervised. The objective of modifying s. Phar 7.01 (3) is to change a reference from "non-pharmacist" to "pharmacy technician," and increase the ratio to 1 to 4. As originally proposed, the modification of s. Phar 7.01 (3) was intended to allow for an unlimited pharmacy technician ratio. After a public hearing regarding the proposed modification of s. Phar 7.01 (3), the board has determined that rather than removing all limits to the pharmacy/pharmacy technician ratio, increased 1 to 4 ratio, with the ability to seek a higher ratio by petition to the board, will better implement proposed new rule s. Phar 7.015 which sets forth the delegation of duties to pharmacy technicians.

TEXT OF RULE

SECTION 1. Phar 7.01 (3) is amended to read:

Phar 7.01 (3) A pharmacist may supervise no more than one pharmacy intern and 2 non-pharmacists 4 pharmacy technicians engaged in compounding and dispensing activities as described in sub. (1) (c), except a higher ratio may be authorized by the board upon request to and approval by the board of a specific plan describing the manner in which additional interns or non-pharmacists pharmacy technicians shall be supervised.

(END OF TEXT OF RULE)

_	is order shall take effect on the first day of the month following consin administrative register, pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.), Stats.
Dated	Agency
	Chairperson Pharmacy Examining Board
	FISCAL ESTIMATE
1. The anticipa government of the prop	ted fiscal effect on the fiscal liability and revenues of any local unit of losed rule is: \$0.00.

- 2. The projected anticipated state fiscal effect during the current biennium of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 3. The projected net annualized fiscal impact on state funds of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

These rules will have no significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Stats.

g:\rules\phm19.doc 1/26/01